

PHILOSOPHY AND METHODOLOGY OF INFORMATION:  
THE STUDY OF INFORMATION IN A TRANSDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVE

**Introduction**

**The Study of Information in the Context of Knowledge Ecology**

Gordana Dodig-Crnković and Mark Burgin

**1. The Study of Information – SOI and Related Research Fields**

*Philosophy and Methodology of Information* is the first volume, which together with *Theoretical Information Studies* comprises two-volume edition with the aim of laying out the foundation of the emerging research field of *the Study of Information*. It is based on the summit of the International Society for *the Study of Information* held in Gothenburg in 2017 (<http://is4si-2017.org>). This volume contains a selection of the best philosophical and methodological contributions from the Gothenburg summit, together with number of invited contributions of leading contemporary researchers in the field of *the Study of Information*. It is divided in four parts: Philosophy of Information, Methodology of Information, Philosophy of *the Study of Information* and Methodology of *the Study of Information*.

This volume presents work at establishing philosophical and methodological aspects of a new interdisciplinary/transdisciplinary field of study, with new ways of knowing and models of explanation, based on data-information-knowledge ecology (Capurro, 1990; Zhong, 2011; 2017; Burgin, 2017a; Burgin and Zhong, 2018), and transdisciplinarity (Burgin and Hofkirchner, 2017). It provides perspectives on information as a phenomenon which connects together, and enables new non-reductive unifications of phenomena otherwise studied in isolation within domains of particular sciences, humanities, technologies and arts, as well as other fields dedicated to the study of information. Currently specialized academic fields divide human knowledge and experience into silos isolated from each other. Based on the research results of the domain-knowledge, *the Study of Information* seeks a

new, networked approach to enable an inclusive view, from which future research projects transcending disciplinary boundaries will derive, based on understanding of information structures and processes underlying particular domains. *The study of information* involves a recursive process of analysis and synthesis through continuous learning – about the natural as well as synthetic/created/cultural worlds, and about their actors – living beings and artificial agents. The methodology varies between multidisciplinary and transdisciplinary methods (Burgin and Hofkirchner, 2017), ecological approach (Capurro, 1990; Zhong, 2011; 2017; Burgin, 2017a; Burgin and Zhong, 2018) and networking techniques (Díaz Nafría, Burgin and Rodríguez-Bravo in this volume) where different parts of the whole retain autonomy in constant communication with other actors/agents in the network of networks of knowledge sharing agents.

Information is a topic addressed in variety of educational and research fields: Information Science, Library Science, Library and Information science (LIS), Information Studies, Study of Information, Informatics, Bioinformatics, Foundations of Information Science (FIS), Information Philosophy (IP), and more. Almost forty years ago, Machlup identified 39 information-based disciplines (Machlup, 1982), while in 2011 Yan listed 172 information disciplines (Yan, 2011). When it comes to sciences of information, Yan distinguishes three historically developed domains: Computer and Information Science, Library and Information Science and Telecommunications and Information Science.

The historical development of new information-based fields followed the development of new information and communication technologies as well as new insights brought about in information processing, information storage and communication. Important contribution to the understanding of information and its mechanisms comes from its applications in natural sciences (physics, chemistry and biology), cognitive sciences, neuroscience, social sciences and especially, models of social and individual cognition (cf., for example, (Von Baeyer, 2003; Frieden, 2004; Seife, 2006; Vedral, 2010)).

Each of new and more detailed insights in the role of information in the control in living organisms from the level of molecular processes and up, through subsequent emergent levels of organization, points towards fundamentality of information (Deacon, 2011) and computation as the dynamics of information. (Dodig-Crnkovic, 2011; 2017)

The Study of Information (SOI) as an emerging contemporary foundational framework is dedicated to building a broader, inter/cross/transdisciplinary approach to information in its different forms based on ecological view of information and knowledge (Zhong, 2011; 2017; Burgin, 2017a; Burgin and Zhong, 2018). It emphasizes the dynamic connection between the parts (particular knowledge domains) and the whole and their mutual interactions, as well as their context dependence, where context is given by the awareness of the presence of the whole ecology of information entities - structures and processes in different domains and on different levels of abstraction. Zhong (2017) argues that information ecology cannot be adequately modeled by “divide and conquer” method in which the system is partitioned into independent parts. Instead, complex nature of the ecological system must be recognized and the essential role of interaction between its parts. Complexity of information is addressed in (Burgin and Calude, 2016; Schroeder, 2016).

The Study of Information differs in its scope and methods from all other currently existing approaches to information. For instance, when it comes to Library and Information Science (*LIS*), its unique concern is described as: *"Humans becoming informed (constructing meaning) via intermediation between inquirers and instrumented records. No other field has this as its concern."* (Konrad, 2007, p. 660)

The difference between *The Study of Information* (SOI) and *Library and Information Science* (LIS) is that its main interest is not in the *human use* of information (such as information search and retrieval, library management, knowledge management, knowledge organization, and similar) but in the structures and processes of information in all its manifestations including the human use of information.

Scientific approaches to information are grouped under the umbrella term of *Information Sciences*. With respect to the domain, Information Sciences can be divided in the Technical, Natural, Cognitive and Social Information Sciences.

The term “Theoretical Information Science” is used for different fields – from theoretical Computer Science to theoretical aspects of Information Science (in the sense of “IS” in “LIS”). Theoretical information science sometimes refers to the *development of information technologies* including computing, programming and telecommunication systems addressing modeling and analysis of information processing systems. Modeling typically involves formal-logic models of computing systems, analysis and verification; data transmitting protocols in information networks, algorithms and programs for information processing etc.

*Informatics* is the term used in Europe as synonymous to both Computing and Computer Science, where Computer Science is often dominated by the study of algorithms and “automated abstraction”. Interesting to note is this connection between information as a structure and computing as a process which often come together. (Dodig-Crnkovic and Burgin, 2011)

Development of *Information Philosophy/ Philosophy of Information* can be traced back to works of Floridi (2002) who describes its aims as “1. *the critical investigation of the conceptual nature and basic principles of information, including its dynamics, utilization and sciences and 2. the elaboration and application of information-theoretic and computational methodologies to philosophical problems.*” Along similar lines, it is possible to find works of other philosophers of information, such as (Adriaans and van Benthem, 2008; Adriaans, 2018). At the same time, Wu (2016) points to the interaction and convergence between the philosophy of information and science of information, while (Wu and Brenner, 2017) propose viewing *Philosophy of Information* as an informational meta-philosophy of science. In addition, Herold (2001) elaborates the relationships between *Librarianship* and the *Philosophy of Information*.

In sum, *Philosophy of Information* is a dynamic and diverse research field (Adriaans and van Benthem, 2008). One may ask why we don’t simply label

this book “Philosophy of Information” instead of insisting on its being part of the emerging field of *The Study of Information*. In the first place because we want to establish explicit knowledge ecology of information studies in which *Philosophy of Information* informs all other actors in the network of networks as well as being informed through mutual interactions. We are elucidating those connections.

Besides, we make a distinction between philosophy of information and philosophy of information studies treating methodology of information and logic of information as separate fields.

We also want to emphasize essential dependence of *The Study of Information* in general, and philosophy of information, in particular, on *methodology of information* and *logic of information* as essential parts of the Study of Information. Examples of work offering new logical perspectives are (Brenner, 2006) exploring transconsistent logic for model-based reasoning, (Allo, 2007) studying necessity of logical pluralism, and (Brenner, 2008) addressing the logic of transdisciplinarity. While (van Benthem and van Rooij, 2003) proposed the way of “connecting the different faces of information, (van Benthem, 2010) connects logical dynamics of information and interaction. In addition to connecting (in both ways) *Philosophy of information* with sciences and technology, we also make connections with its methodological and logical foundations that are in a continuous evolution.

We can see the development of the Study of Information within the is4si community as grounded in the activities of the Foundations of Information network, <http://fis.sciforum.net/about-fis>, led by Pedro Marijuán (Marijuán, 2013); UTI (Unified Theory of Information) network led by Wolfgang Hofkirchner (Hofkirchner, 1999, 2017) and Glossarium Bitri network and information glossary project led by José María Díaz Nafría (Nafría, Gutiérrez, Alemany, 2016). All three networks focus on theory of information and its different aspects.

In the publication area, the work of Mark Burgin as the Editor of the World Scientific book series in Information Studies <https://bit.ly/2Q7Pt3W> is an important contribution to the progress of the research field. Burgin has also

extensively published on data, information and knowledge (Burgin, 2010; 2010a; 2011; 2016; 2017b). Other members of the is4si community have also essentially contributed to SOI: Rafael Capurro with the conceptual analysis of the concept of information (Capurro, 2009) and the study of the social aspects of its utilization (Capurro, 1990); Yixin Zhong with the development of information ecology as a methodological approach to the study of information (Zhong, 2011; 2017; Burgin and Zhong, 2018); and Gordana Dodig-Crnkovic with the study of dynamics of information in the form of morphological computation by cognizing agents (Dodig-Crnkovic, 2008; 2011; 2016; 2017). Terrence Deacon in his book *Incomplete Nature: How Mind Emerged from Matter* (Deacon, 2011) addresses information as appearing in hierarchy of dynamic processes in nature - homeodynamics, morphodynamics, and teleodynamics connecting biosemiotics, origins of life and philosophy of mind.

## **2. Exploring Philosophy and Methodology of Information on Different Levels**

In this volume, philosophy and methodology of information are explored through their various facets, with information as the fundamental concept that is changing philosophy and methodology of research and knowledge generation in general, and of the study of information, in particular, while philosophical and methodological insights affect our understanding of the information as a fundamental phenomenon.

According to Howell (2013, p. 32), research (on a given topic) establishes the relationship through succession between philosophy (ontology and epistemology), theory, methodology and methods.

### **Philosophy of Information**

*Philosophy of Information*, as a part of philosophy, includes ontology, epistemology, ethics and aesthetics of information.

The basic questions of the *ontology* of information being: how information exists, what information is; how information functions, how it is generated,

communicated and processed; relations of information to other fundamental phenomena and in particular, relations between data, knowledge and information. Existence of information, its processes and structures are derived from sciences, which provide our best present day knowledge about the world (Zuse 1969; Wheeler 1990; Schmidhuber 1997; Wolfram, 2002).

The basic questions of *epistemology* of information are: how knowledge about information is obtained; how knowledge about information is justified, the role of information in cognition and knowledge generation. With regard to *epistemology*, information is used both as an object of study and as a means of study. Again even in this respect, fundamental insights are made by connecting knowledge of diverse areas. (Dodig-Crnkovic, 2017)

*Aesthetics* of information is a vivid research field, dedicated often to visual arts and music and exemplified in the works of Schmidhuber (1997), Adriaans (2008), Kang Zhang et al. (2012) and McLean and Dean (2018).

### Methodology of Information

“(The) methodology is defined as the research strategy that outlines the way one goes about undertaking a research project”, (Howell, 2013 p. ix) whereas methods identify means /tools of knowledge generation. In this context, methodology of information studies methods of information observation, measurement, evaluation and utilization such as information production, acquisition, processing, preservation, protection, restoration, comprehension and communication.

### Philosophy of the Study of Information

It includes ontology, epistemology, ethics and aesthetics of the study of information. It explores the place of the study of information in knowledge production, its interaction with other scientific and technical/applied/practical disciplines, the goals of the study of information and how knowledge about information and information processes is obtained.

## Methodology of the Study of Information

The methodology of the study of information is the research strategy that outlines the way one goes about undertaking a research projects in the field of the study of information, while methods of the study of information identify means of knowledge generation within the field of the study of information. This presents the level of meta-theorizing with respect to the basic level of studies of the information phenomenon. Methodology of the Study of Information is concerned with such problems as how different fields of study of information relate, how to organize studies of information, and how to utilize knowledge obtained in the studies of information.

### 3. Contributions to the Book

**The first part of the book** is dedicated to the *Philosophy of Information*, with chapters encompassing a broad spectrum of ontological and epistemological issues of information. Peter Boltuc sets the stage by investigating the fundamental philosophical question of differences between subject and object in the context of the Philosophy of Information. Joseph Brenner addresses information in science, logic and philosophy through the vision of Stephane Lupasco.

Terrence Deacon focuses on relativity of information. It is an important cognitive challenge in general and scientific problem, in particular, because in many situations it is hard to make a difference between information and noise. Indeed, relativity of information is affirmed in the first Ontological Principle of the general theory of information, which, in particular, states that empirically, it is possible to speak only about *information for a system* and not information in general (Burgin, 2010; 2017b). Analyzing the real situation in the history of science, Deacon's work gives a brilliant example of this principle.

En Wang investigates the concept of "*Objective Non-Reality*" connecting it to the phenomenon of information in the context of Information Philosophy. The concept of non-reality, non-being or nothingness has been discussed both in Western and Eastern philosophy for millennia. In Western philosophy, it goes back to the *Sophist* of Plato and to *Physics* of Aristotle (Plato, 1961;

Aristotle, 1984). In Eastern philosophy, this concept appears in Tao Te Ching by Lao Tse (cf. (Kirkland, 2004)):

“... *advantage comes from what is;*  
*usefulness comes from what is not.*”

Philosophers introduced different types and modes of non-being. For instance, Marius Victorinus splits non-being into four categories: negation, the nature of the other (*secundum naturam alterius*), as potential being, and as transcendent non-being (Victorinus, 1960; Piemonte, 1986). Eriugena defines five modes of being and non-being admitting that it is possible to find more by crafty reasoning (Moran, 1989). Contemporary approach goes even further being able to differentiate between non-reality, non-being or nothingness (Burgin, 2012).

Kun Wu and Wang Ping argue for the Philosophy of Information as a radical changing force in philosophy as a whole. Indeed, all cognition, including philosophical cognition, is based on information acquisition, procession and comprehension.

Rossella Lupacchini's contribution presents a broad picture of reality starting with Plato's *Allegory of the Cave* and going through theories of painting to quantum interference to Leibniz's monads to photons and light to matter to quantum computation, qubits and information. In her exposition, Lupacchini contrasts light and shadow in her qualitative characterization of the phenomenon of information.

Gustavo Saldanha, Vinícios Souza de Menezes and Rodrigo Bozzetti explore informational ontogenesis and philosophical-symbolic dilemmas in the context of ethical applications of social epistemology.

**The second part of the book, *Methodology of Information*, treats the research strategies involving study of information.**

Mark Burgin explores relations between information-based knowledge discovery and the processes of invention in the realm of mathematical creativity, based on the information core of both processes and the global structuration of the world in the form of the Existential Triad. The goal is to

provide an explanation, argumentation and empirical evidence validating the claim about existence of both processes in mathematics.

Rodolfo Fiorini's contribution presents evolutive information as an idea whose specific and contingent understanding involves interdisciplinary, trans-disciplinary, cultural and ontological multi-perspectives in the context of Cybersemiotics (Brier, 2008).

Lorenzo Magnani describes the connection between information, computation and cognition explicating the evolutionary emergence of information, meaning, and cognition in humans, as the outcome of dynamic co-evolutionary interactions between brain/mind processes, body, and environment.

Marcin Schroeder's contribution provides scientifically motivated mathematical foundation for a dual role of information as "a difference that makes a difference" and as "identification of a variety" based on the ideas of invariance and symmetry. In such a way, Schroeder explicates two types of information, which are important special cases of the general concept of information, which is described in the general theory of information. It is possible to obtain these two types by a relevant choice of the infological systems (Burgin, 2010). This gives additional evidence that the general theory of information encompasses all kinds and types of information.

At the same time, it is necessary to accentuate that existence of the general theory of information does not decrease the role and importance of various special information theories, such as Marcin Schroeder's information theory (Schroeder, 2015) or Krassimir Markov's information theory (Markov, et al, 2006). We can see how theories of groups, of rings and of fields occupy principal places in mathematics although all of them are special subtheories of the theory of universal algebras (Cohn, 1965).

Wang Peizhuang proposes a unified mechanism for transformation of information into knowledge in artificial intelligence synthesizing in such a way characteristics of structuralism, functionalism and behaviorism.

Rainer Zimmermann and Xiaomeng Zhang study the grounds for the concepts, formal or hermeneutic, in the relationship of philosophy and the sciences, as well as in relation to the arts.

**The third part of the book** is dedicated to the *Philosophy of the Study of Information*.

In the opening chapter, Jordi Vallverdú explores the situated nature of information ontologies. Wang Zhensong argues for a necessity of integration of information science with information philosophy to form a unified knowledge field.

Liu Jun demonstrates modernity of Confucianism from the perspective of the philosophy of information. In particular, we know that Confucius thoroughly considered knowledge and its sources paying much attention to names as carriers (bearers) of information about reality (Confucius, 1979):

*“If names be not correct, language is not in accordance with the truth of things. If language be not in accordance with the truth of things, affairs cannot be carried on to success.”*

At the same time, names play more and more important role in the contemporary technology in general and computer networks such as the Internet, in particular (cf., for example, (Shoch, 1978; Ballintijn, et al, 2001; Burgin and Tandon, 2006)).

**The fourth (last) part** of the book is devoted to *Methodology of the Study of Information*.

Søren Brier’s contribution presents an argument for using the cybersemiotics approach for building a foundation of the transdisciplinary study of information.

Pedro Marijuán offers Ten Principles of Information Science as methodological principles of its development. These principles are oriented towards life sciences and are aimed at a transition from a fragmented landscape of information fields to a consistent disciplinary body of the unified “information science,” which is critically important because blinded by the contemporary promises of global big data, researchers barely recognize the perils and pitfalls of information contained in these data and its acquisition.

José María Díaz Nafría, Mark Burgin and Blanca Rodríguez-Bravo dedicate their chapter to the study of conceptual representation of knowledge. They develop a mathematical model of conceptual knowledge representation and suggest knowledge integration based on this model, network technology and formation of a hypertext of conceptual knowledge.

Huacan He, Y.Q. Zhou and Z.C. Chen propose Universal Logics for intelligent information processing required by information ecology. Ouyang Kang uses Information Ecology to explore Cognitive Justice through its Core Values and Methodological Principles. The concluding chapter, written by Yixin Zhong, argues for information ecology as a fundamental methodological approach of the Study of Information.

In sum, this book gives an up-to-date multi-aspect exposition of contemporary studies in Philosophy and Methodology as being addressed in the field of the Study of Information. Collaboration of researchers from different research fields opens new perspectives for innovative developments in variety of areas of sciences, humanities and technologies with applications. The book is aimed at readers who conduct research into fundamental aspects of information, information society and information technology. It opens new perspectives for those who develop or implement scientific, technological or social applications, and especially for those who are participating in setting the goals and policies for science in general, and sciences of information in particular.

#### **4. Acknowledgements**

Last but not least, the editors want to express their gratitude to all the contributors to this project. It was a great pleasure to collaborate! The majority of the detailed review process has been done in an open and transparent forum of the research community.

Special thanks are due to Yixin Zhong, President of the China Chapter of the Society for the Study of Information, who played the central role in the preparations of contributions from China Chapter for both volumes.

## References

- Adriaans, P. (2008) *Between Order and Chaos: The Quest for Meaningful Information*. Theory of Computing Systems (Special Issue: Computation and Logic in the Real World; Guest Editors: S.B. Cooper, E. Mayordomo and A. Sorbi), v. 45, pp. 650–674
- Adriaans, Pieter (2018) "Information", The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Winter 2018 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), URL = <<https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2018/entries/information/>>.
- Adriaans, P. and van Benthem, J.F.A.K., Eds. (2008) *Handbook of Philosophy of Information*, Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Allo, P. (2007) Logical pluralism and semantic information. *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 36 (6), pp.659 - 694
- Aristotle, (1984) *The Complete Works of Aristotle*, Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Ballintijn, G., van Steen, M. and Tanenbaum, A. S. (2001) Scalable user-friendly resource names, *IEEE Internet Computing*, v. 5(5), pp. 20–27
- Brenner, J.E. (2006) A Transconsistent Logic for Model-Based Reasoning, in *Proceedings of the 2004 Pavia Conference - Model-Based Reasoning in Science and Engineering*, ed. L. Magnani. King's College Publications, London, pp. 353-378
- Brenner, J.E. (2008) The Logic of Transdisciplinarity, in *Transdisciplinarity. Theory and Practice*, ed. Basarab Nicolescu, pp. 155 – 163
- Brier, S. (2008) *Cybersemiotics: Why Information is not Enough*; Toronto University Press: Toronto, ON, Canada.
- Burgin, M. (2010) *Theory of Information: Fundamentality, Diversity and Unification*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pty, Ltd., Singapore
- Burgin, M. (2010a) *Information Operators in Categorical Information Spaces*, *Information*, v. 1, No.1, 2010, pp. 119 - 152
- Burgin, M. (2011) *Information in the Structure of the World*, *Information: Theories & Applications*, v.18, No. 1, pp. 16 - 32
- Burgin, M. (2012) *Structural Reality*, Nova Science Publishers, New York
- Burgin, M. (2016) *Theory of Knowledge: Structures and Processes*, World Scientific, New York/London/Singapore,
- Burgin, M. (2017a) Principles of General Ecology, in *Proceedings*, v. 1, No. 3, 148; doi:10.3390/IS4SI-2017-03996
- Burgin, M. (2017b) The General Theory of Information as a Unifying Factor for Information Studies: The noble eight-fold path, in *Proceedings*, v. 1, No. 3, 164, 6 p.; doi:10.3390/IS4SI-2017-04044
- Burgin, M. and Calude, C.S. (2016) *Information and Complexity*. World Scientific Series in Information Studies
- Burgin, M. and Hofkirchner, W. (Eds) (2017) *Information Studies and the Quest for Transdisciplinarity: Unity through Diversity*, World Scientific, New York/London/Singapore,
- Burgin, M. and Tandon, A. (2006) Naming and its Regularities in Distributed Environments, in *Proceedings of the 2006 International Conference on Foundations of Computer Science*, CSREA Press, pp. 10-16
- Burgin, M. and Zhong, Y. (2018) Information Ecology in the Context of General Ecology. *Information* 9(3): 57

- Capurro, R. (2009) Past, present, and future of the concept of information, *tripleC*, v. 7, No. 2 <http://triplec.at/index.php/tripleC/article/view/113>
- Capurro, R. (1990) Towards an Information Ecology, in *Information and Quality* (Wormell, I. Ed), Taylor Graham, London, pp. 122–139
- Cohn, P.M. (1965) *Universal algebra*, Harper & Row, New York/Evanston/London
- Confucius, (1979) *The Analects*, Harmondsworth, New York
- Deacon, T. (2011) *Incomplete Nature: How Mind Emerged from Matter*. W.W. Norton & Company. New York.
- Díaz Nafría J.M., Pérez-Montoro Gutiérrez M., Salto Alemany F. (2016) Glossarium BITri: Glossary of Concepts, Metaphors, Theories and Problems Concerning Information
- Dodig-Crnkovic, G. (2008). Knowledge Generation as Natural Computation. *Journal of Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics* 6 (2).
- Dodig-Crnkovic, G. (2011) Dynamics of Information as Natural Computation. *Information*, 2(3), 460-477
- Dodig-Crnkovic, G.(2016) Information, Computation, Cognition. Agency-Based Hierarchies of Levels. *Fundamental Issues of Artificial Intelligence*, Müller V. C. (ed.), Synthese Library 377, pp 139-159. Springer International Publishing Switzerland DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-26485-1\_10
- Dodig-Crnkovic, G. (2017) Nature as a Network of Morphological Infocomputational Processes for Cognitive Agents. *European Physical Journal: Special Topics* 1951-6355 Vol. 226 p. 181-195
- Dodig-Crnkovic, G. and Burgin, M. (2011) *Information and Computation*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Series in Information Studies, New York/London/Singapore
- Floridi, L. (2002) What is the philosophy of information? *Metaphilosophy* 33, 123–145.
- Floridi, L. (2011) *The Philosophy of Information*. Oxford University Press
- Frieden, B.R. (2004) *Science from Fisher Information: A Unification*, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
- Herold, K.R. (2001) *Librarianship and the Philosophy of Information*. Library Philosophy and Practice, Vol. 3. No. 2.
- Hofkirchner, W. Ed. (1999) *The Quest for a Unified Theory of Information*. Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Foundations of Information Science. World Futures General Evolution Studies. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach Publ.
- Hofkirchner, W. (2017) *Emergent Information. A Unified Theory of Information Framework*. World Scientific Series in Information Studies: Volume 3
- Howell, K. E. (2013) *An Introduction to the Philosophy of Methodology*. SAGE Publications. Kindle Edition
- Kirkland, R. (2004) *Taoism: The Enduring Tradition*, Routledge, London/New York
- Konrad, A. (2007) On inquiry: Human concept formation and construction of meaning through library and information science intermediation. University of California, Berkeley. Retrieved from <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/1s76b6hp>
- Machlup, F. and Mansfield, U. (1982) *The Study of Information: Interdisciplinary Message*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA
- Marijuán P.C. (2013) The uprising of the informational: Towards a new way of thinking in information science. Proceedings of the First International Conference on Philosophy of Information. Xi'an Jiaotong University.
- Marius Victorinus, (1960) *Traites theologiques sur la Trinite*, (P. Hadot and P. Henry; Eds.), 2

vols., SC nos. 68, 69, CERF, Paris

Markov, Kr., Ivanova, Kr., and Mitov, I. (2006) Basic Structure of the General Information Theory. *IJITA*, Vol.14, No.: 1, pp.5-19

McLean, A. and Dean, R.T. (2018) *The Oxford Handbook of Algorithmic Music*. Oxford University Press

Moran, D. (1989) *The Philosophy of John Scottus Eriugena. A Study of Idealism in the Middle Ages*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Piemonte, G. (1986) L'Expression quae sunt et quae non sunt: Jean Scot et Marius Victorinus, in G. H. Allard (Ed.), *Jean Scot ecrivain*, Institut d'Etudes Medievales, Montreal, pp. 81-113.

Plato, *The Collected Dialogues of Plato*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1961

Schmidhuber, J. (1997) A Computer Scientist's View of Life, the Universe, and Everything. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 1337: 201–208.

Schmidhuber, J. (1997a) Low-Complexity Art. *Leonardo*, 30(2): 97–103, MIT Press

Schroeder, M. J. (2015). Structural and Quantitative Characteristics of Information and Complexity. In M. Kondo (ed.), *RIMS Kokyuroku*, No. 1964, Kyoto: Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, pp.88-97

Schroeder, M.J. (2016) Hierarchic Information Systems in a Search for Methods to Transcend Limitations of Complexity. *Philosophies* 1(1), 1-14; doi:10.3390/philosophies1010001

Seife, C. (2006) *Decoding the Universe: How the New Science of Information Is Explaining Everything in the Cosmos, from Our Brain to Black Holes*, Penguin Group Inc.: Brockman, CA, USA

Shoch, J. (1978) Inter-network naming, addressing, and routing, *17th IEEE Computer Society International Conference (COMPCON)*, pp. 72-79

van Benthem, J.F.A.K. and van Rooij, R. eds. (2003) Connecting the Different Faces of Information, *Journal of Logic, Language and Information*, 12(4): 375–379.

van Benthem, J.F.A.K. (2010) *Logical Dynamics Of Information and Interaction* Cambridge University Press.

Vedral, V. (2010) *Decoding Reality: The Universe as Quantum Information*. Oxford University Press.

Von Baeyer, H.C. (2003) *Information: The New Language of Science*; Weidenfeld & Nicolson: London, UK

Wheeler, J.A. (1990) Information, physics, quantum: The search for links. In W. Zurek (ed.) *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information*, Redwood City, CA: Addison-Wesley.

Wolfram, S. (2002) *A New Kind of Science*, Wolfram Media Inc.

Wu, K. (2016) The Interaction and Convergence of the Philosophy and Science of Information, *Philosophies* 1(3), 228-244.

Wu, K. and Brenner J. (2017) Philosophy of Information: Revolution in Philosophy. Towards an Informational Metaphilosophy of Science. *Philosophies* 2 (4):22

Yan, X.S. (2011) Information Science: Its Past, Present and Future, *Information* 2, no. 3: 510-527. doi:10.3390/info2030510

Zhang, K., Harrell, S. and Ji X. (2012) Computational Aesthetics: On the Complexity of Computer-Generated Paintings. *Leonardo*, Volume 45, Issue 3, June 2012 p.243-248

Zhong, Y. (2011) Unity-Based Diversity. System Approach to Defining Information. *Information*, v. 2(3), pp. 406-416

Zhong, Y. (2017) Information Ecology and Information Studies. *Proceedings*, 1(3), 200

Zuse, K. (1969) *Rechnender Raum*, Friedrich Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig (Translated as "Calculating Space" MIT Technical Translation AZT-70-164-GEMIT, MIT (Proj. MAC), Cambridge, MA, Feb. 1970)